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Abstract

The purpose of the study was to compare the impact of training loads on physical and physiological variables of soccer players. For this study, one hundred twenty (120) male cricket players were selected as subject. The average age of the subjects was 18-24 years as obtained for this study. Random group experimental design was employed in this study. The subjects were classified into three groups viz. endurance dominated (ED) group, strength dominated (SD) group and control (C) group; each group consisting 40 subjects. The groups were administered initial tests on physiological variables. After the initial tests, the training loads were administered to the two experimental groups, where no special training was administered to the control group. The training was administered for the period of ten weeks, five days a week in progressive manner. To find out the significance of difference between pre and post –test means’ t test was employed. The level of significant was set at 0.05 levels. To find out the significance of mean difference among pre– test, post- test and adjusted mean, analysis of variance and co –variance techniques were employed. The result showed that there were found the significant effects of training loads on physiological variables after ten weeks strength dominated and endurance dominated training programme and accepted the hypothesis stated earlier.
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Introduction

Modern cricket is very fast by its nature, the spectators and the players enjoy the game of cricket with a great amount of merriment. It is a game of constant action and requires continuous adaptation to changing situations by the team as a whole as well as by the individual players. With the constant demand for “high sports performance” the concept of football, to date, has been changed. The concept of “Total football” applies skill development, tactical development, development of all important motor components and physiological parameters which are closely associated and contributes to performance in soccer. Not only the technical, physiological development, the sports scientists are also making efforts to develop the intellectual ability of the cricket players. The existing literature in the field of soccer shows that endurance, speed, agility, maximum leg strength, upper body strength, leg power, muscular endurance, flexibility, coordination and reaction time are important pre requisites for efficient cricket performance.

The word of training methodology has crossed many milestones as a result of different types of researchers in general and their application to the sports development in particular. In the modern scientific age, athletes are being trained by highly sophisticated means for better achievement in their concerned sports. They are being exposed to the exercises and training methods which have proved beneficial for achieving higher standards. Much progress has been made in the recent years in the acquisition of knowledge about training means and techniques of sports skills.

Methodology

Selection of Subjects

One hundred twenty (120) male cricket players were recruited for the purpose who actively play involved in playing professional club and inter clubs cricket school games, were selected as subjects for this study. The average age of the subjects was ranging between from 18 - 24 years as obtained from their clubs.
Selection of Test Items

Physiological Test Items
The following physiological test items were selected in relation to standard of cricket players.

1. **Resting Heart Rate**: Measure of Resting Heart Rate by Pulmonary Method
2. **Vital Capacity**: Measure of Vital Capacity by using Wet Spirometer

Design of the Study
Random group experimental design was employed in this study. The subjects were classified into three groups viz. endurance dominated (ED) group, strength dominated (SD) group, and control (C) group; each group consisting 40 subjects. The groups were administered initial tests on physiological variables. After the initial tests, the training loads were administered to the two experimental groups, where no special training was administered to the control group. The training was administered for the period of ten weeks, five days a week in progressive manner. Detailed procedure adopted in this regard is described under the heading “Administration of Training.” The final tests were re-administered on selected physiological variables under similar conditions by the same testers after ten weeks.

Administration of Training
The training for both the experimental groups was administered at the Shubhaschandra Memorial English School Sports Complex. The experimental groups met 5 days per week for the period of ten weeks. Each experimental session was of 60 – minute’s duration with additional 30 minutes was made available for all the groups for cricket skill practice. The training commenced with first two weeks of General Physical Condition for both the experimental groups, so that; physiological systems of subjects were ready to undertake specific load administered to them for the purpose of the study. After the conditioning the training was administered separately for the two experimental groups and details of which are as follows:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Experiment</th>
<th>Groups</th>
<th>Strength dominated</th>
<th>Endurance dominated</th>
<th>Control Group</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Pre-test Means</td>
<td>64.225</td>
<td>66.225</td>
<td>65.375</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Post-test Means</td>
<td>62.025</td>
<td>64.075</td>
<td>65.050</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Adjusted post test means</td>
<td>62.984</td>
<td>63.207</td>
<td>64.959</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Endurance Dominated Group (ED)
For endurance dominated group the training schedules included three days of endurance training and two days were spent for the development of other components. A week’s schedule was repeated for the proceeding week and thereafter the loads were adjusted progressive for the next proceeding block of two weeks.

Strength Dominated Group (SD)
The strength dominated group also met 5 days per week. The training schedule includes three days of strength training whereas other two days were utilized for the development of other motor components. A week’s schedule was repeated for the proceeding week and thereafter the loads were adjusted progressively for the next proceeding block of two weeks.

Control Group (C)
The control group was not allowed to take part in the specific experimental training programme except they had a daily 30 minute of cricket skill practices for 5-days a week for the period of 10 weeks.

Statistical Analysis
To find out the effect of training, following statistical techniques were employed.

1. To find out the significance of difference between pre-test means’t’ test was employed.
2. To find out the significance of mean difference among post-test means, analysis of variance and co-variance techniques were employed.

Analysis and finding
Its deals with the comparison of Strength dominated group, endurance dominated group and control group respectively. To observe the difference among different workload before and after the treatments on specific test items of physiological variables, the analysis of co-variance (ANCOVA) was adopted and data pertaining to these has been presented in Table-1

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Experiment</th>
<th>Groups</th>
<th>Sum of Squares</th>
<th>df</th>
<th>Means sum of squares</th>
<th>F-ratio</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Pre-test Means</td>
<td>64.225</td>
<td>66.225</td>
<td>65.375</td>
<td>A 80.600</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>W 2717.325</td>
<td>117</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Post-test Means</td>
<td>62.025</td>
<td>64.075</td>
<td>65.050</td>
<td>A 190.717</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>W 2679.650</td>
<td>117</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Adjusted post test means</td>
<td>62.984</td>
<td>63.207</td>
<td>64.959</td>
<td>A 93.449</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>W 411.956</td>
<td>116</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* Significant at 0.05 level of confidence
N=120, A= Among Means variance, W= Within Group variance,
F= Ratio needed for significance at 0.05 level of confidence: (2,117) = 3.09, (2,116) = 3.09

- The analysis of co-variance for RHR indicated that the resultant F-ratio of 1.735 was insignificant in case of pre-test means from which it is clear that the pre-test mean does not differ significantly and that the random assignment of subjects to the two experimental groups was quite successful.
- The post-test means of all the three groups yielded an F-ratio of 4.164, which was also significant at 0.05 level of confidence.
- The difference between the adjusted posts means was found significant as the obtained F-ratio was 13.157.
- The F-ratio needed for significance at 0.05 level of confidence was 3.09. As the difference between the adjusted means for three groups were found significant, the critical difference for adjusted means was applied to find out which of the differences between the paired adjusted final means. Differences between the paired adjusted final means are shown in Table 2.
Table 2: Paired Adjusted Final Means and Differences between Means for the Two Experimental Groups and Control Groups in RHR

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Strength dominated group</th>
<th>Endurance dominated group</th>
<th>Control Group</th>
<th>Difference between Means</th>
<th>Critical difference for adjusted means</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Means</td>
<td>62.984</td>
<td>63.207</td>
<td>64.959</td>
<td>0.223</td>
<td>0.842</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Difference</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>1.752*</td>
<td>1.975*</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* Significant at 0.05 level of confidence.

- It is evident from above Table that significant difference was found between adjusted final means of endurance dominated group and control group. Strength dominated group and control group.
- The difference between means was higher than critical difference for adjusted means. On the other hand, insignificant difference was found between the adjusted final means of endurance dominated group and control group. Strength dominated group and control group. The difference between means was lower than critical difference for adjusted mean.

Table 3: Analysis of Co-Variance of the Means of Two Experimental Groups and the Control Groups in VC

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Experiment</th>
<th>Groups</th>
<th>Sum of Squares</th>
<th>df</th>
<th>Means sum of squares</th>
<th>F-ratio</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Pre-test Means</td>
<td>Strength dominated</td>
<td>3.629</td>
<td>A</td>
<td>.129</td>
<td>.971</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Endurance dominated</td>
<td>3.555</td>
<td></td>
<td>.769</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Control Group</td>
<td>3.565</td>
<td>W</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Post-test Means</td>
<td>Strength dominated</td>
<td>3.790</td>
<td>A</td>
<td>1.335</td>
<td>12.498*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Endurance dominated</td>
<td>3.780</td>
<td></td>
<td>.667</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Control Group</td>
<td>3.5450</td>
<td>W</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Adjusted post test means</td>
<td>Strength dominated</td>
<td>3.757</td>
<td>A</td>
<td>2.358</td>
<td>23.91*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Endurance dominated</td>
<td>3.800</td>
<td></td>
<td>.242*</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Control Group</td>
<td>3.558</td>
<td>W</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* Significant at 0.05 level of confidence

N=120, A= Among Means variance, W= Within Group variance, F= Ratio needed for significance at 0.05 level of confidence: (2,117) = 3.09, (2,116) =3.

The analysis of co-variance for VC indicated that the resultant ratio of .971 was insignificant in case of pre-test means from which it is clear that the pre-test mean does not differ significantly and that the random assignment of subjects to the two experimental groups was quite successful. The post-test means of all the three groups yielded an F-ratio of 12.498, which was also significant at 0.05 level of confidence. The difference between the adjusted post test means was found significant as the obtained F-ratio was 23.910. The F-ratio needed for significance at 0.05 level of confidence was 3.09. As the difference between the adjusted means for three groups were found significant, the critical difference for adjusted means was applied to find out which of the differences between the paired adjusted final means. Differences between the paired adjusted final means are shown in Table 4.

Table 4: Paired Adjusted Final Means and Differences between Means for the Two Experimental Groups and Control Groups in VC

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Strength dominated group</th>
<th>Endurance dominated group</th>
<th>Control Group</th>
<th>Difference between Means</th>
<th>Critical difference for adjusted means</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Means</td>
<td>3.757</td>
<td>3.800</td>
<td>3.558</td>
<td>0.224*</td>
<td>0.236</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>3.800</td>
<td>3.558</td>
<td></td>
<td>0.199</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* Significant at 0.05 level of confidence.

It is evident from above Table that significant difference was found between adjusted final mean of endurance dominated group and control group. The difference between means was higher than critical difference for adjusted mean. On the other hand, insignificant difference was found between the adjusted final means of Strength dominated group and Endurance dominated group, Strength dominated group and control group. The difference between means was lower than critical difference for adjusted mean.
Discussion
With the comparison of pre-test and post-test of Strength dominated (SD) group, Endurance dominated (ED) group, and control (C) group by the analysis of covariance (ANCOVA) for selected test items of different physiological variables are discussed.

Physiological Test Items
In case of Resting Heart Rate (RH), it was evident from the result that there were found significant difference as the yielded F-ratio of pre-test means and the adjusted post means were 4.164 and 13.157 respectively. It was also evident that in the Vital Capacity (VC), there were found significant difference as the yielded F-ratio of post-test means and the adjusted post means were 12.498 and 23.910 respectively.

Discussion of Hypothesis
There were found the significant effects of training loads on the selected physiological variables after ten weeks strength dominated and endurance dominated training programme and accepted the hypothesis stated earlier.

Conclusion
- It was concluded that the various sub-disciplines of cricket have differing physiological requirements.
- The sub-discipline differences in the physiological requirements are largely negated by the fact that all players fulfill the demanding role of playing and are subjected to the same rigorous training routines.
- The present study found significant differences in the physiological characteristics of the player’s. However, the results of this study concluded as it reached the significance level, and it assumed that the results of this study are useful to coaches and sport scientists and should be used in talent identification programmes, player selection and training programmes.
- The results of this study are limited by the small sample of subjects.

Recommendation for Future Research work
Extensive research have been undertaken in several sports disciplines to identify physiological characteristics of young sports children which enables coaches to identify promising talent in their respective sports disciplines. However, no research is traceable which identify physiological and other characteristics of young cricketers. Therefore it is recommended to undertake research which might identify the physiological profiles of young cricketers from normal population or other sporting population.
- In the present study sample size of young cricketers was very small. Therefore, it is recommended to replicate such an investigation with larger sample size.
- Within each sports disciplines the demands placed on various specialists differs. Therefore Investigation of physiological profiles of cricketers specializing in bowling, batting, wicket keeping is recommended.
- The present investigation involved cricketers at state / club level. The physiological profile at national and international level may be accentuated for various reasons. Therefore an investigation involving cricketers of national and international repute may be undertaken.

Recommendation for Coaches and Administrators
- It is recommended that either training regime be made demanding or select candidates with suitable skill like bowler, batsman, and wicket keeper.
- Based on the research findings involving young children in sports, identify talented cricketers at early age and coach them right.
- It is recommended that coaches based on their knowledge of physiological profile required for various departments of the game of cricket.
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