

ISSN: 2456-0057
IJPNPE 2018; 3(1): 1556-1558

www.journalofsports.com
Received: 17-11-2017
Accepted: 18-12-2017

Shantanu Singh Kakran
Assistant Professor, Department of Sports & Physical Education, Vardhaman College, Bijnor Uttar Pradesh India

Shikha Yadav
Physical Education Teacher, Amity Global School, Gurugram Haryana India

Correspondence
Shantanu Singh Kakran
Assistant Professor, Department of Sports & Physical Education, Vardhaman College, Bijnor Uttar Pradesh India

Effect of 6- week plyometric training on agility of university level cricket players

Shantanu Singh Kakran and Shikha Yadav

Abstract
The purpose of the present study was to determine the effect of 6-week plyometric training on agility of university level cricket players. 30 male university level cricket players of Jiwaji University, Gwalior (M.P), aged 18 to 25 years were selected as subjects. For the study the pretest- posttest randomized groups Experimental design was adopted. The experimental treatment was given to the subjects through the plyometric exercises i.e. Squat Jump, Split Jump (lounges), Vertical Depth Jump, Jump up, Box Jump March, Lateral Jump (Single leg), Lateral Jump over the cone (Double leg), three days a week for 6 weeks of one hour per session from 5 p.m. to 6 p.m. The pre-test and post-test data were collected before administering the training and immediately after the completion of the training programme by using AAHPERD shuttle run test to measure the Agility. To analyze the collected data ANCOVA statistical technique was employed and the level of significant was observed at 0.05 level. On the basis of the statistical technique it was conclude that there was significant improvement of agility due to the training of selected plyotmetric exercises.

Keywords: Plyometric, exercise, agility, cricket

Introduction

Cricket is a team game and cricketers have to performed different role as a bowler, fielder or as batsman in a same game which consists of intermittent activity during which players are required to repeatedly perform striding, sprinting, turning and jumping, which place considerable demands on the physiological and neuromuscular system. (Davies *et al*., 2008; Noakes *et al*., 2000; Bartlett, 2003) [13, 17]. Therefore, lower limb muscles ability to produce power is an important component of fitness for cricketers. (Christie and King, 2008) [1] Plyometric training has been an effective method for the improvement of agility, sprinting, and jumping ability. (Crowther *et al*., 2007; De Villarreal *et al*., 2012; Impellizzeri *et al*., 2008) [12, 4]. and it has also been reported to improve running economy, joint stability, increased joint awareness and overall proprioception and decrease the severity of knee injuries (Chimera *et al*., 2004; Miller *et al*., 2002) [2, 3, 15, 16].

Plyometric drills usually involve stopping, starting, and changing directions in an explosive manner. These movements are components that can assist in developing agility (Craig, 2004; Miller *et al*., 2001; Parsons *et al*., 1998; Yap *et al*., 2000; Young *et al*., 2001) [11, 15, 16 18, 22]. Agility is the ability to maintain or control body position while quickly changing direction during a series of movements. Agility training is thought to be a re- enforcement of motor programming through neuromuscular conditioning and neural adaptation of muscle spindles, golgi-tendon organs, and joint proprioceptors (Barnes and Attaway, 1996; Craig, 2004, Potteiger *et al*., 1999) [8, 11, 20]. By enhancing balance and control of body positions during movement, agility theoretically should improve. Jump performances appears to be contingent on the quantity and efficiency in which force is produced at the hip, knee and ankle joints, explosive strength of the legs and hips should result in a higher vertical jump. Plyometrics are training techniques used by athletes in all types of sports to increase strength and explosiveness (Chu, 1998) [10]. Plyometrics consists of a rapid stretching of a muscle (eccentricaction) immediately followed by a concentric or shortening action of the same muscle and connective tissue. (Baechle and Earle, 2000) [6]

Therefore, the purpose of this study was to evaluate the effect of plyometric training on agility of university level cricketers.

Methods

Study design and subjects

A Pretest- Posttest randomized groups Experimental design was used. For the present study the data was collected from university level Cricket Players of Jiwaji University, Gwalior (M.P). The study was delimited to 30 male university level Cricket players. Age of the cricket players was ranging from 18 to 25 years. The researcher divided the Cricket players into two equal groups on the basis of the mean performance of pre-test score. The groups were randomly selected distributed into two homogeneous groups namely, Experimental Group and Control Group.

All the subjects were informed about the nature, purpose, and possible risk involved in the study and an informed written consent was taken from them prior to participation. All subjects were familiarized with all testing procedures and plyometrics training prior to the commencement of the study.

The experimental treatment was given to the subjects through the selected plyometric exercises i.e. Squat Jump, Split Jump (lounges), Vertical Depth Jump, Jump up, Box Jump March, Lateral Jump (Single leg), Lateral Jump over the cone (Double leg), three days a week for 6 weeks of one hour per session from 5 p.m. to 6 p.m. The pre-test and post-test data were collected before administering the training and immediately after the completion of the training programme by using AAHPERD shuttle run test to measure the Agility. The test was conducted in the evening between 5 p.m. to 6 p.m. To analyze the collected data ANCOVA statistical technique was employed and the level of significant was observed at 0.05 level.

Analysis of the Study

The mean and standard deviation of both groups during post testing have been shown in table 1:-

Table 1: Descriptive statistics of post test of agility

Treatment Groups	Mean	Std. Deviation	N
Experimental Group	10.2980	.26628	15
Control Group	10.5813	.21155	15
Total	10.4397	.27676	30

The mean and standard deviation of different post testing groups after adjustment have been shown in table 2:-

Table 2: Adjusted mean and standard error of experimental and control group in post testing

Treatment Groups	Mean	Std. Error
Experimental Group	10.265	.018
Control Group	10.614	.018

Further, adjusted means and standard deviation for data on agility of both the groups during post testing is shown in table 2. These values are different from that of unadjusted values shown in table 1.

The final results of ANCOVA have been shown in table 3:-

Table 3: ANCOVA table for the post test data on agility

Source	Type I Sum of squares	df	Mean Square	F	Sig.
Pre test on agility	1.193	1	1.193	235.026	.000
Treatment group	.891	1	.891	175.587	.000
Error	.137	27	.005		
Total	3271.821	30			

Table 3 shows the f- value for comparing the adjusted means of the two groups (treatment and control) during post testing. Since p-value for f statistics is 0.00 which is less than 0.05, it is significant. Thus the null hypothesis of no difference among the adjusted post means for the data on agility in both the groups may be rejected at 5% level.

Since f- statistics is significant, post hoc comparison has been made for adjusted means of two groups which is shown in table 4:-

Table 4: Post hoc comparison of adjusted means of the data on agility obtained in post hoc measurement

(I) Treatment Groups	(J) Treatment Groups	Mean Difference (I-J)	Std. Error	Sig.
Experimental Group	Control Group	-.348	.026	.000

The p- value for mean difference between experimental and control group is 0.00. Since p-value is less than 0.05 and hence they are significant at 5% level.

Discussion

The aim of this study was to see the effect of 6 week plyometric training on agility university level cricketers. In this study a 6-weeks of plyometrics training programmed was done and functional test was performed for both the group. The study showed that there is significant improvement in agility of experimental group. So present study indicated that 6 weeks of plyometrics training was able to increase agility significantly.

Agility training is thought to be a reinforcement of motor programming through neuromuscular conditioning and neural adaptation of muscle spindles, Golgitendon organs, and joint proprioceptors. (Craig, 2004) [11]. Plyometrics drills usually involve stopping, starting and changing direction in an explosive manner and these components can assist in developing agility. (Miller *et al*., 2001; Craig, 2004; Miller *et al*., 1998; Yap *et al*., 2000 [22]; Parsons *et al*., 1998 [18]; Yap *et al*. 2000) [22] and plyometrics help in improving agility. (Miller *et al*., 1998) [15, 16]. Plyometrics training exhibit a marked improvement in all speed tests and vertical jump tests, leg strength and agility. (Michailidis *et al*., 2013). plyometric training can be an effective training technique to improve an athlete's agility. (Miller *et al*., 1998) [15, 16]. He said the plyometric training group reduced time on the ground on the post test compared to the control group (Miller *et al*., 1998) [15, 16]. Both drop jump and counter moment jump plyometrics are worthwhile training activities that for improving power and agility. (Thomas *et al*., 2009) [21]. The use of plyometrics training program is not only to break the monotony of training, but they can also improve the agility and strength of players. (Bal *et al*., 2011) [7].

Conclusion

The results of this study indicate that there is significant

difference in the effect of 6 week plyometric training on agility of university level cricketer. on the basis of above findings we can say that 6 week plyometric training is effective in improving agility.

Reference

1. Christie CJ, King GA. Heart rate and perceived strain during batting in a warm and cool environment. International Journal of Fitness. 2008; 4: 33-38
2. Chimera NJ, Swanik KA, Swanik CB, Straub SJ. Effects of plyometric training on muscle-activation strategies and performance in female athletes. Journal of Athletic Training. 2004; 39(1):24-31.
3. Miller MG, Berry DC, Bullard S, Gilders R. Comparisons of land-based and aquatic-based plyometric programs during an 8-week training period. Journal of Sport Rehabilitation. 2002; 11(4):268-283.
4. De Villarreal ES, Requena B, Cronin JB. The effects of plyometric training on sprint performance: A metaanalysis. The Journal of Strength & Conditioning Research. 2012; 26(2):575-584.
5. Impellizzeri FM, Rampinini E, Castagna C, Martino F, Fiorini S, Wisloff U. Effect of plyometric training onvsand versus grass on muscle soreness and jumping and sprinting ability in soccer players. British journal of sportsvmedicine. 2008; 42(1):42-46.
6. Baechle TR, Earle RW. Essentials of strength training and conditioning. 2nd edition. Champaign, IL: National Strength and Conditioning Association, 2000.
7. Bal BS, Kaur PJ, Singh D, Bal BS. Effects 0f A Short Term Plyometric Training Program Of Agility In Young Basketball Players. Brazilian Journal of Biomotricity. 2011; 5(4):271-278.
8. Barnes M, Attaway J. Agility and conditioning of the San Francisco 49ers. Strength and Conditioning. 1996; 18:10-16
9. Bartlett RM. The science and medicine of cricket: An overview and update. Journal of Sports Science. 2003; 21:733-752.
10. Chu DA. Jumping into plyometrics. 2nd edition. Champaign IL: Human Kinetics. 1998.
11. Craig BW. What is the Scientific Basis of Speed and Agility? Strength & Conditioning Journal. 2004; 26(3): 13-14.
12. Crowther RG, Spinks WL, Leicht AS, Spinks CD. Kinematic responses to plyometric exercises conductedvon compliant and noncompliant surfaces. The Journal of Strength & Conditioning Research. 2007; 21(2):460-465.
13. Davies R, du-Randt R, Venter D, Stretch R. Cricket: Nature and incidence of fast-bowling injuries at an elite, junior level and associated risk factors. South African Journal of Sports Medicine. 2008; 20(4):115-119.
14. Michailidis Y, Fatouros IG, Primpa E, Michailidis C, Avloniti A, Chatzinikolaou A *et al* Plyometrics' Trainability in Preadolescent Soccer Athletes. The Journal of Strength & Conditioning Research. 2013; 27(1):38-49.
15. Miller JM, Hilbert SC, Brown LE. Speed, quickness, and agility training for senior tennis, 2001.
16. Miller MG, Herniman JJ, Ricard MD, Cheatham CC, Michael TJ. The effects of a 6-week plyometrics training program on agility. Journal of Sports Science and Medicine. 2006; 5:459-465.
17. Noakes TD. Durandt JJ. Physiological requirements of cricket. Journal of Sports Sciences. 2000; 18:919-929.
18. Parsons LS, Jones MT. Development of speed, agility, and quickness for tennis athletes. Strength & Conditioning Journal. 1998; 20(3):14-19.
19. Players, Strength Conditioning Journal, 23(5), 62.
20. Potteiger JA, Lockwood RH, Haub MD, Dolezal BA, Alumzaini KS, Schroeder JM, Zebas CJ. Muscle power and fiber characteristic following 8 weeks of plyometric training. Journal of Strength and Conditioning Research. 1999; 13:275-279
21. Thomas K, French D, Hayes PR. The effect of two plyometric training techniques on muscular power and agility in youth soccer players. The Journal of Strength & Conditioning Research. 2009; 23(1):332-335
22. Yap CW, Brown, LE. Development of speed, agility, and quickness for the female soccer athlete. Strength & Conditioning Journal. 2000; 22(1):9.
23. Young WB, McDowell MH, Scarlett BJ. Specificity of spring and agility training methods. Journal of Strength and Conditioning Research. 2011; 15:315-319 [PubMed]