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# A study to find out the difference between nutritional status of anaemic and non-anaemic adolescent girls 

Dr. Neeta Varshney


#### Abstract

Adolescent is one of the most challenging periods in human development. The relatively uniform growth of childhood is suddenly altered by a rapid increase in the growth rate. The sudden changes create nutritional needs. Adolescent is considered as especially nutritionally vulnerable period for several reasons. First, they have an increased demand for nutrients because of the dramatic increase in physical growth and development. Second the change in the life style and food habits of adolescent effect nutrients intake and needs. Third adolescence nutrient needs are affected by participation in sports, pregnancy and development of an eating disorder, excess diet, use of alcohol and drugs or some other situations. WHO states that the "world-wide mortality rate of iron deficiency anaemia is $60,404,000$ in 2005 ". High prevalence of iron deficiency anaemia reflects their poor status of nutrition because of their rapid growth combined with poor eating habits and menstruation (Wongs, 2009). Estimates suggest that over one third of the world's population suffers from anaemia, mostly iron deficiency anaemia. India. Prevalence of anaemia in India is high because of low dietary intake, poor availability of iron and chronic blood loss due to hook worm infestation and malaria.
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## Introduction

Adolescence is a n important time to gain in height as well as weight. While muscles and fat increase the requirement of energy as well as protein increase considerably during this period, energy and protein needs to correlate more closely with the growth pattern than with the chronological age. Thus, the energy requirements of adolescents are designed to maintain health. Promote optional growth and maturation and support a desirable level of physical activity. Nutritional status can have an immediate impact on the health of adolescent, contribute to obesity, susceptibility to illness and general health. Also, there is increasing evidence that adult with nutrition in childhood and adolescence.
Adolescence is a particularly unique dynamic period in life because it is the "second and last growth spurt" in the life of a human being. The adolescence is considered vulnerable nutritionally as there is an increased demand for nutrients especially iron.

## Objectives of the study

The topic of the present investigation was "a study to find out the difference between nutritional status of anaemic and non-anaemic adolescent girls"

1. To find out the general information on the adolescent girl.
2. To find out the anthropometric measurements of the adolescent girls.
3. To find out the school lunch of the adolescent girls
4. To find the iron deficiency anaemia among the adolescent girls.

## Methodology

## Sample area and Sample size

The study was performed in a government Inter-college of Aligarh district. 100 adolescent girls were selected from government Inter-college by random sampling method

## Tools and techniques of the study

For the present study, a questionnaire was prepared. It includes general information regarding name, age, family, educational status, economic status, clinical sign and
symptoms and school lunch. Anaemia was examined with the help of a pharmacist. The data collected was tabulated and analyzed statistically. Respondents were categorized into 2 categories: Anaemic and Non-anaemic.

Table 1: Age wise distribution of respondents

| Age in years | Anaemic girls |  | Non-anaemic girls |  | Total | Percentage of anaemic | *p value |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Number | Percentage | Number | Percentage |  |  |  |
| 15 | 6 | $5.3 \%$ | 4 | $2.3 \%$ | 10 | $60 \%$ |  |
| 16 | 11 | $9.8 \%$ | 8 | $7.95 \%$ | 19 | $57.8 \%$ |  |
| 17 | 20 | $18.3 \%$ | 15 | $18.9 \%$ | 35 | $57.1 \%$ | 0.245 |
| 18 | 19 | $16.5 \%$ | 17 | $19.3 \%$ | 36 | $52.7 \%$ |  |
| Total | 56 |  | 44 |  | 100 |  |  |

Out of 100 respondents $56 \%$ were found anaemic. The lowest percentage among the anaemic (5.3\%) was from the age group of 15 years and the highest $\{18.3 \%$ ) belonged to age group of 17 years. Almost same scenario was seen in the normal respondents wherein almost $16.5 \%$ were recorded from age group of 18 years and highest in the age group of 17 years considering the age wise distribution of anaemic
respondents. Table 1 reveals that highest percentage ( $60 \%$ ) of anaemic respondents were falling in the age group of 15 years. In order to ascertain whether there is any statistically significant association between the two groups with respect to age or not, chi-square test was employed. The association was statistically insignificant ( $\mathrm{x} 2=1.205, \mathrm{p}=0.245$ ) between the two groups.

Table 2: Distribution of respondents according to type of family

| Type of family | Anaemic girls |  | Non-anaemic girls |  | Total | Percentage of anaemic | *p value |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Number | Percentage | Number | Percentage |  | 58 |  |
|  | Nuclear | 37 | $32.5 \%$ | 21 | $23 \%$ | 58 | $(\mathrm{~S})$ |
| Joint |  | $16.4 \%$ | 24 | $25 \%$ | 42 | $42.6 \%$ |  |
| Total | 55 |  | 45 |  | 100 |  |  |

${ }^{*} p<0.05$ shows the significant results, $\mathrm{x} 2=2.94$

The nuclear family setup has emerged as the main pattern of families during current years. Group analysis figures show that majority of girls ( $32.5 \%$ ) in the anaemic group were belonging from nuclear type of family whereas in the normal group respondents were distributed equally.

Group comparison revealed that the prevalence of anaemia was highest ( $63.07 \%$ ) in girls falling in the nuclear family setup. The association between the two groups with respect to type of family was found statistically significant as the p value ( $\mathrm{p}=0.008$ ) is less than level of significance ( 0.2 .94 ).

Table 3: Distribution of respondents according to type religion

| Religion | Anaemic girls |  | Non-anaemic girls |  | Total | Percentage of anaemic | $* p$ <br> value |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Number | Percentage | Number | Percentage |  |  | 0.295 |
| Hindu | 15 | $17.5 \%$ | 27 | $30 \%$ | 42 | $65.5 \%$ |  |
| Muslim | 38 | $39.5 \%$ | 20 | $22.4 \%$ | 58 |  |  |
| Total | 53 |  | 47 |  | 100 |  |  |

* $p<0.05$ shows the significant results, $\mathrm{x} 2=0.319$

The table 3 gives distribution of respondents to the religion. The respondents within group analysis figures show that majority ( $17.5 \%$ ) of girls in the anaemic group were Hindu. Group of comparison revealed that the prevalence of anaemia
was highest ( $65 \%$ ) in Muslim girls. The association between the two groups with respect to type of religion was found statistically significant as the $p$ value ( $p=0.295$ ) is less than level of significance (0.319).

Table 4: Distribution of respondents according to the educational status of their parents

| Age in years | Anaemic girls |  | Non-anaemic girls |  | Total | Percentage of anaemic | $* p$ <br> value |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Number | Percentage | Number | Percentage |  |  |  |
| Both Illiterate | 45 | $82.1 \%$ | 27 | $60.2 \%$ | 72 | $43.2 \%$ | $(5)$ |
| Single Literate | 9 | $17.0 \%$ | 13 | $28.4 \%$ | 22 | $9.1 \%$ |  |
| Both literate | 1 | $0.9 \%$ | 5 | $10.4 \%$ | 6 |  |  |
| Total | 55 |  | 45 |  | 100 |  |  |

*p<0.05 shows the significant results, $\mathrm{x} 2=16.02$

The figures in table 4 is testimony to this fact that almost $82.1 \%$ of the girls among anaemic group were those having both the parents as illiterate and negligible percentage ( $0.9 \%$ ) was of the respondents in the anaemic group whose both parents were literate. It can also be seen from the table that there is a sharp decline in the percentage of anaemic girls when both the parents are illiterate and when at least one of
them is literate. Inter group comparison shows that in total, the highest percentage $63.4 \%$ of anaemic respondents had both parents as illiterate. To justify the fact statistically whether educational status of parents does influence the anaemic condition or not, chi-square test was employed which shows that there is significant association ( $\mathrm{p}=0.000$ ).

Table 5: Distribution of respondents according to the income of their family

| Socio economic status | Anaemic girls |  | Non-anaemic girls |  | Percentage of anaemic | *p value |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Number | Percentage | Number | Percentage |  |  | $56.17 \%$ |
| Low \& lower middle Class (<5000) | 50 | $44.6 \%$ | 39 | $43.7 \%$ | 89 | 0.695 (NS) |  |
| Average Middle (5000 to 10000) | 6 | $5.3 \%$ | 5 | $6.25 \%$ | 11 |  |  |

Source: Socio-economic Status Scale by Dr. A.G. Madhosh and K.P. Rafique,
${ }^{p} p<0.05$ shows the significant results, $\mathrm{x} 2=0.15$

Table 5 shows the distribution of respondents according to the monthly income of their family. There is not much difference in the percentages of anaemic and normal respondents as far as the income of family is concerned. In both the groups, whether the income is less than Rs. 5000 or more the percentage is almost proportional. No doubt that the number
of anaemics is higher in low-income group, but at the same time normal are also equally high in this income group. A slight difference in percentages cannot be taken as important which is evident from chi square value and $p$ value ( $p=0.695$ ) of the test of association.

Table 6: Descriptive statistics of Height ( cm ) of respondents

| Age | Anaemic Mean $\pm$ SD | Normal Mean $\pm$ SD | *p-value | Recommended values (cm) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 15 years | $144.0 \pm 17.9$ | $152.63 \pm 6.9$ | $0.421(\mathrm{NS})$ | 157 |
| 16 years | $148.3 \pm 12.3$ | $152.2 \pm 14.3$ | $0.408(\mathrm{NS})$ | 157 |
| 17 years | $145.1 \pm 13.8$ | $153.4 \pm 10.9$ | $0.008(\mathrm{NS})$ | 160 |
| 18 Years | $148.6 \pm 12.7$ | $152.2 \pm 12.2$ | $0.182(\mathrm{NS})$ | 160 |

Source: Food and Nutrition Board of India, National Academy of Science Designed for the Maintenance of Good Nutrition, ${ }^{*} p<0.05$ shows the significant difference.

Heights were measured for all the 100 respondents and mean and standard deviations for different age groups were calculated and the same is presented in table- 6 to test whether the respondents meet the standard values or not. T-test for single mean was used. In case of respondents belonging to age group of 17 years, there was statistically significant
difference between the standard heights given by the National Nutrition Bureau and the respondents in that particular group wherein as per National Nutrition Bureau standards height should be on an average 160 cm but our study group had much lower height than that. $(p=0.008)$.

Table 7: Descriptive statistics of weight (Kg) of respondents

| Age | Anaemic Mean $\pm \mathbf{S D}$ | Normal Mean $\pm \mathbf{S D}$ | *p-value | Recommended value (Kg) ICMR |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 15 years | $42.25 \pm 6.54$ | $38.50 \pm 7.05$ | $0.414(\mathrm{NS})$ | 46.7 |
| 16 years | $44.24 \pm 5.53$ | $44.36 \pm 5.54$ | $0.951(\mathrm{NS})$ | 46.7 |
| 17 years | $45.13 \pm 7.14$ | $43.22 \pm 5.61$ | $0.214(\mathrm{NS})$ | 46.7 |
| 18 years | $46.58 \pm 5.19$ | $47.76 \pm 8.59$ | $0.445(\mathrm{NS})$ | 49.9 |

Weights, mean and standard deviations for all the 100 respondents from different age groups were calculated and the same is presented in the tabular form as in table 7. To test whether the respondents meet the standard values given by

ICMR or not, t-test for single mean was used. There was statistically insignificant difference between the standard weights given by ICMR and weights of the respondents.

Table 8: Clinical Signs and Symptoms of the respondents

| Clinical Signs |  | Anaemic girls |  | Non-anaemic girls |  | Total | Percentage of anaemic | *p value |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Number | Percentage | Number | Percentage |  |  |  |
| Body appearance | Small | 24 | 22.9\% | 18 | 20.8\% | 42 | 57.1\% | 0.817 |
|  | Average | 26 | 23.5\% | 22 | 26.0\% | 48 | 54.1\% |  |
|  | Large | 7 | 6.2\% | 3 | 5.2\% | 10 | 70\% |  |

* $p<0.05$ shows the significant results, $\mathrm{x} 2=0.20$

Table 8 shows that clinical signs and symptoms of the respondents. In case of anaemic group, the lowest percentage i.e., $6.2 \%$ had large body appearance and highest percentage i.e., $23.5 \%$ had average body appearance. While in normal group, the lowest percentage $5.2 \%$ had large body appearance
and highest percentage i.e., $26.0 \%$ had average appearance. Thus, it is found that highest percentage of anaemic i.e., $70 \%$ had large body appearance. The p-value ( 0.817 ) shows that there is no significant association between the groups.

Table 9: Clinical Signs and Symptoms of the respondents

| Clinical Signs | Anaemic girls |  | Non-anaemic girls |  | Total | Percentage of anaemic | p |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | value |  |  |  |  |  |  |$|$

${ }^{*} p<0.05$ shows the significant results,
(Eyes X2 $=3.00$, Lips X2 $=1.43$, Tongue X2 $=4.99$ )

Table 9 shows the clinical signs and symptoms of the respondents. In case of anaemic group, the lowest percentage i.e., $7.15 \%$ had watery eyes and highest percentage i.e., $24.5 \%$ had normal eyes. While in normal group, the lowest percentage i.e., $5.7 \%$ had watery eyes and highest percentage $30.7 \%$ had normal eyes. It is found that a higher percentage of anaemic $34.3 \%$ had dry eyes.
In case of anaemic group the lowest percentage i.e., $21.9 \%$ had marked lips and highest percentage i.e., $28.15 \%$ had normal lips. While in case of normal group the lowest percentage i.e., $23.85 \%$ had normal lips and highest percentage i.e., $26.15 \%$ had marked lips. Thus, it is found that a higher percentage of anaemic i.e., $59.6 \%$ had normal lips.

Further, in anaemic group the lowest percentage i.e., $8.05 \%$ had red tongue and highest percentage i.e., $29.45 \%$ had normal tongue. While in case of normal group, the lowest percentage i.e., $10.25 \%$ had red tongue and highest percentage i.e., $21.6 \%$ had normal tongue. Thus, it is found that a higher percentage of anaemic i.e., $63.4 \%$ had normal tongue .
In order to ascertain whether there is any association between the two groups with respect to the clinical signs and symptoms or not(eyes, lips and tongue), chi-square test was employed which shows that there is statistically insignificant association $(\mathrm{p}=0.22, \mathrm{p}=0.23$ and $\mathrm{p}=0.08\}$ between the two groups.

Table 10: Presence of Major Symptoms of Anaemia

| Health status |  | Anaemic girls |  | Non-anaemic girls |  | Total | Percentage of anaemic | *p value |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Number | Percentage | Number | Percentage |  |  |  |  |
| Breathlessness | Present | 4 | $3.55 \%$ | 11 | $12.5 \%$ | 15 | $26.6 \%$ | $0.000(\mathrm{~S})$ |
|  | Absent | 52 | $46.4 \%$ | 33 | $35.5 \%$ | 85 | $61.17 \%$ |  |
| Weakness | Present | 8 | $7.5 \%$ | 8 | $9.1 \%$ | 16 | $50.0 \%$ | $0.560(\mathrm{NS})$ |
|  | Absent | 48 | $42.8 \%$ | 36 | $40.9 \%$ | 84 | $57.1 \%$ |  |
| Tiredness | Present | 19 | $16.5 \%$ | 16 | $17.6 \%$ | 35 | $54.2 \%$ | $0765(\mathrm{NS})$ |
|  | Absent | 37 | $33.5 \%$ | 28 | $32.4 \%$ | 65 | $56.9 \%$ |  |
| Irritability | Present | 25 | $22.3 \%$ | 17 | $19.3 \%$ | 42 | $59.5 \%$ | $0.394(\mathrm{NS})$ |
|  | Absent | 31 | $27.7 \%$ | 27 | $30.7 \%$ | 58 | $53.4 \%$ |  |

*p<0.05 shows the significant results
(Breathlessness X2 $=12.32$, Weakness $\mathrm{x} 2=0.55$, Tiredness X2 $=0.10$, Irritability X2 $=0.73$ )

Table 10 gives the health status of the respondents. In case of anaemic group the lowest percentage i.e., $3.55 \% \mathrm{had}$ breathlessness and highest percentage i.e., $46.4 \%$ had no such symptoms. In case of normal group, lowest percentage i.e., $12.5 \%$ had breathlessness and highest percentage i.e., $35.5 \%$ had no such symptoms. Thus, the results revealed that $26.6 \%$ of the respondents had breathlessness and $61.17 \%$ had no such symptoms.
Again in case of anaemic group, the lowest percentage i.e., $7.15 \%$ had complained of body weakness present and highest percentage i.e., $42.8 \%$ had no such symptoms. In case of normal group, lowest percentage i.e., $9.1 \%$ had weakness and highest percentage i.e., $40.9 \%$ had no such symptoms. Thus, it is found that a higher percentage of anaemic i.e., $57.1 \%$ between the two groups had no complaints of body weakness. Further, in case of anaemic group the lowest percentage i.e., $16.5 \%$ had body tiredness and highest percentage i.e., $33.5 \%$ had no complaints of tiredness. Again in the case of normal group, lowest percentage i.e., $17.6 \%$ had tiredness and highest
percentage i.e., $32.4 \%$ had no such complaints. Thus, it is found that highest percentage of anaemic is $56.9 \%$ between the two groups who had no complaint of tiredness.
However, in case of anaemic group the lowest percentage i.e., $22.3 \%$ could feel irritability quite often and highest percentage i.e., $27.7 \%$ had no such complaints. In case of normal group, lowest percentage i.e., $19.3 \%$ had complained of irritable nature and highest percentage i.e., $30.7 \%$ had no such symptoms. Thus, it is found that highest percentage of anaemics that was found between the two groups who had complaints of irritability is $59.5 \%$.
According to the association between the two groups with respect to health status of the respondents (breathlessness, weakness, tiredness and irritability), chi-square test was employed, which shows that in case of breathlessness there is statistically significant association ( $\mathrm{p}=0.000$ ) between the two groups.

Table 11: School lunch consumed by the respondents

| School lunch taken | Anaemic girls |  |  | Non-anaemic girls |  | Total | Percentage of anaemic |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Number | Percentage | Number | Percentage |  |  |  |
| Yes | 24 | $22.0 \%$ | 20 | $21.6 \%$ | 44 | $54.5 \%$ | 0.863 |
| No | 32 | $28.0 \%$ | 24 | $27.9 \%$ | 56 | $57.14 \%$ | (NS) |

${ }^{*} p<0.05$ shows the significant results, $\mathrm{x} 2=0.03$

Table 11 shows the packed school lunch consumed by the respondents. Among the anaemic group the lowest percentage i.e., $22.0 \%$ had packed school lunch and highest percentage i.e., $28.0 \%$ had no packed school lunch. In case of normal group, the lowest percentage i.e., $21.6 \%$ had packed school lunch and highest percentage i.e., $27.9 \%$ had no school lunch.

In order to ascertain whether there is any association between the two groups with respect to the packed school lunch by the respondents or not, chi square test was employed which shows that there is statistically insignificant association ( $\mathrm{p}=0.863$ ) between the two groups.

Table 12: Consumption of fruits and milk by the respondents

| $\begin{gathered} \text { Consumption } \\ \text { of fruits and milk } \end{gathered}$ |  | Anaemic girls |  | Non-anaemic girls |  | Total | Percentage of anaemic | $\begin{gathered} \text { *p } \\ \text { value } \end{gathered}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Number | Percentage | Number | Percentage |  |  |  |
| Fruit | yes | 40 | 37.05\% | 30 | 33.9\% | 70 | 57.1\% | 0.331 |
| Fruit | No | 15 | 12.9\% | 14 | 16.1\% | 29 | 51.7\% | (NS) |
|  | yes | 14 | 12.5\% | 10 | 10.9\% | 24 | 58.0\% | 0.853 |
| Milk | No | 42 | 37.5\% | 34 | 38.05\% | 75 | 55.2\% | (NS) |

${ }^{*} p<0.05$ shows the significant results, (Fruits x2 $=0.94$, Milk x2 $=0.03$ )

Table 12 shows consumption of fruits and milk by the respondents. In anaemic group the lowest percentage i.e., $12.9 \%$ consumed no fruits and $12.5 \%$ consumed milk and highest percentage i.e., $37.05 \%$ consumed fruits and $37.5 \%$ consumed no milk. Also, the highest percentage among both groups i.e., $57.1 \%$ consumed fruits and $58.0 \%$ consumed milk.
In order to ascertain whether there is any association between the two groups with respect to the consumption of fruits and milk taken by the respondents or not, chi-square test was employed which shows that there is statistically insignificant association ( $\mathrm{p}=0.331$ and 0.853 ) between the two groups.

## Discussions

The demographic pictures of two school going adolescent girls in the age group of 15-18 years were selected by random sampling method from district Srinagar of J\&K state. Out of 100 subjects, $64 \%$ were found anaemic. While the same study was conducted in Haryana on 110 adolescent girls who belonged to low socio - economic group, it was found that anaemia was more prevalent in girls who were more than 14 years of age by Biradar S. Shipa et al. (2012). Maximum percentage of anaemics was found in subjects belonging to nuclear setup where as $45.7 \%$ subjects belonged to joint family setup. The findings were similar to studies where $45.71 \%$ of the population belonged to joint families and higher i.e., $55.28 \%$ of the population belong to nuclear families by Akhter (2003).
Anthropometric Data in adolescent girls of both normal and anaemic group had less height and weight as compared to recommended values in all the age groups (15-18 years). While analyzing heights it was observed, that in both the groups anaemic and normal there was significant difference between the standard heights given by National Nutrition Bureau and adolescent girls in the particular age group i.e. 15 years. Similar results were found by Sachan et al, (2012) who reported that the mean weight and mean height in both urban and rural schools showed significant difference with the ICMR mean weight for respective ages except in age group of 18 and 19 years in urban school girls and in age group of 10 and 19 years in rural school girls. The mean height in all age groups in both urban and rural schools showed significant
difference with the ICMR mean height for respective ages except in age group of 18 and 19 years in urban schools and in age group of $16,17,18$, and 19 years in rural schools.
While analyzing BMI it was found that maximum percentage was of anaemics.
The study revealed that the presence in degree of anaemia can be estimated clinically by well-trained compounder. Majority of the respondents $61.7 \%$ could feel irritability followed by $53.4 \%$ of the respondents who experienced tiredness. $50.0 \%$ of the subjects were suffering from weakness and $6.67 \%$ of the subjects were found to feel breathlessness.
Dietary Information revealed in our study that the majority of the adolescent girls and among both groups consumed tap water and highest percentage of anaemic of the adolescent girls consumed unbilled water. It was also found that the maximum number of the respondents had school lunch, fruits and milk.
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