



ISSN: 2456-0057
IJPNPE 2019; 4(1): 1919-1921
© 2019 IJPNPE
www.journalofsports.com
Received: 21-11-2018
Accepted: 25-12-2018

Manish Kumar
Research Scholar, Maharshi
Dayanand University, Rohtak,
Haryana, India

An analysis of ground availability of indoor and outdoor games in Rajiv Gandhi Gramin Khel stadiums in Haryana

Manish Kumar

Abstract

The study would be helpful to sports policies for improvement like physical infrastructure for indoor and outdoor games to provide better facilities for the bitterness of the players so that the players make a good positions/result. The present study will be conducted in Haryana state. The state is divided into four divisions: Ambala, Rohtak, Gurgaon, Hisar. Within these divisions there are 21 districts, 47 sub divisions, 67 Tehsils, 45 sub Tehsils and 116 blocks. Due the limitation of time and money in present research only 30 blocks will be randomly selected for data collection, where Rajiv Gandhi gram in khel stadiums are present. The tabulated data was subjected to computation using SPSS 20.0 version. The calculated results were tabulated for mean, standard deviation and percentage distribution. In this study question wise interpretation were used therefore, all responses were interpreted in the terms of questions asked in the survey. We find out that outdoor game grounds availability in RGGKP is 100 % according to sports coaches (out of 50 respondents), while 74% sports person (out of 400 respondents) responses that outdoor games facilities are available. 70.8 % Local people are also agree that outdoor ground facilities are available in RGGKP. When we talk about indoor games facilities we find out that 76 % coaches (out of 50 respondents) think that indoor games facilities are available in RGGKP, While 60.8 % sports person (out of 400 respondents) responses that indoor games facilities are available. 45.4 % Local people are also agree that indoor ground facilities are available in RGGKP.

Keywords: Rajiv gandhi khel stadium, ground, indoor games, outdoor games

Introduction

The establishment of the sports ministry with the schemes only added the burden of Documents, but made little difference at the grassroots level. A good example is the launch of Rajiv Gandhi Khel Abhiyan (RGKA) in February 2014, the year in which PYKKA (Panchayat Yuva Krida and Khel Abhiyan) was abandoned, with the aim of making India a sports nation by 2020. It is Ha spent more than a year, but the plan is still in the "model" phase. Last year, for reasons known to the ministry, it changed the name of the PYKKA scheme as RGKA. The project was approved by the Council of Ministers on February 6 and the plan was officially launched. The objective of RGKA was to provide basic sports facilities and equipment at the panchayat level and to organize competitions at district and block level, such as for PYKKA. The only difference was the goal of creating external and internal structures under one roof. The indoor play areas have proven to be an excellent choice as places to take your children, where they can have fun, be active and, above all, play in a safe, clean and colorful environment. As a natural and exciting activity, the game promotes cognitive, physical, social and emotional well-being, and offers the necessary conditions for children to thrive and learn.

Objective of the study

- To examine the availability of ground availability of indoor and outdoor games in Rajiv Gandhi Gramin Khel Stadiums in Haryana.

Delimitation of the study

The present study will be conducted in Haryana state. The state is divided into four divisions
1. Ambala 2. Rohtak 3. Gurgaon 4. Hisar

Correspondence
Manish Kumar
Research Scholar, Maharshi
Dayanand University, Rohtak,
Haryana, India

Within these divisions there are 21 districts, 47 sub divisions, 67 Tehsils, 45 sub Tehsils and 116 blocks. Due the limitation of time and money in present research only 30 blocks will be randomly selected for data collection, where Rajiv Gandhi gramin khel stadiums are present.

Tool and techniques of the study

For the collection of data, self-developed questionnaire was used.

Statistical analysis of the study

The tabulated data was subjected to computation using SPSS 20.0 version. The calculated results were tabulated for mean, standard deviation and percentage distribution. In this study question wise interpretation were used therefore, all responses were interpreted in the terms of questions asked in the survey. The data thus computed, has been systematically arranged in a number of tables and graphs for the purpose of analysis and interpretation.

Table 1: Q 1. Is there different outdoor game grounds available in RGGKP?

Groups	Responses	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent
Coaches	Yes	50	100	100
	No	-	-	-
	Total	50	100	100
Sports Person	Yes	296	74.0	74.0
	No	104	26.0	26.0
	Total	400	100.0	100
Local People	Yes	284	70.8	71
	No	116	28.9	29
	Total	400	99.8	100

The table 1 retraced the percentage distribution in relation to question no. 1. A total 50 coaches complete the survey. Out of 50 the frequency of ‘Yes’ were 50 which consist 100% out of the total responses. Total 400 sports person were selected to complete the survey. The frequency of ‘Yes’ were 296 which

consists 74% of the total responses and the frequency of ‘No’ were 104 which consists 26% of the total responses. In Local people frequency of ‘Yes’ were 284 responses which consist 70.8% of the total responses and frequency of ‘No’ were 116 responses which consist of 28.9% of the total responses.




Fig 1: Percentage of different outdoor game grounds available in RGGKP.

Table 2: Q 2. Are there different indoor game facilities available in RGGKP?

Groups	Responses	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent
Coaches	Yes	38	76	76
	No	12	24	24
	Total	50	100	100
Sports Person	Yes	243	60.8	60.8
	No	157	39.3	39.3
	Total	400	100.0	100
Local People	Yes	182	45.4	45.5
	No	218	54.4	54.5
	Total	400	99.8	100

The table 2. Retraced the percentage distribution in relation to question no. 2. A total 50 coaches complete the survey. Out of 50 the frequency of ‘Yes’ were 38 which consist 76% out of the total responses and the frequency of ‘No’ was 12 which consist 24% of the total responses. Total 400 sports person were selected to complete the survey. The frequency of ‘Yes’

were 243 which consists 60.8% of the total responses and the frequency of ‘No’ were 157 which consists 39.3% of the total responses. In Local people frequency of ‘Yes’ were 182 responses which consist 45.4% of the total responses and frequency of ‘No’ were 218 responses which consist of 54.4% of the total responses.




Fig 2: Percentage of different indoor game facilities available in RGGKP.

Conclusion of the study

Statement 1: Is there different outdoor game grounds available in RGGKP?

The table 1 retraced the percentage distribution in relation to question no. 1. A total 50 coaches complete the survey. Out of 50 the frequency of ‘Yes’ were 50 which consist 100% out of the total responses. Total 400 sports person were selected to complete the survey. The frequency of ‘Yes’ were 296 which consists 74% of the total responses and the frequency of ‘No’ were 104 which consists 26% of the total responses. In Local people frequency of ‘Yes’ were 284 responses which consist 70.8% of the total responses and frequency of ‘No’ were 116 responses which consist of 28.9% of the total responses.

Statement 2: Are there different indoor game facilities available in RGGKP?

The table 2 retraced the percentage distribution in relation to question no. 2. A total 50 coaches complete the survey. Out of 50 the frequency of ‘Yes’ were 38 which consist 76% out of the total responses and the frequency of ‘No’ was 12 which consist 24% of the total responses. Total 400 sports person were selected to complete the survey. The frequency of ‘Yes’ were 243 which consists 60.8% of the total responses and the frequency of ‘No’ were 157 which consists 39.3% of the total responses. In Local people frequency of ‘Yes’ were 182 responses which consist 45.4% of the total responses and frequency of ‘No’ were 218 responses which consist of 54.4% of the total responses.

Result of the study

1. We find out that outdoor game grounds availability in RGGKP is 100 % according to sports coaches (Out of 50 respondents), while 74% sports person (Out of 400 respondents) responses that outdoor games facilities are available. 70.8 % Local people are also agree that outdoor ground facilities are available in RGGKP.

2. When we talk about indoor games facilities we find out that 76 % coaches (Out of 50 respondents) think that indoor games facilities are available in RGGKP, While 60.8 % sports person (Out of 400 respondents) responses that indoor games facilities are available. 45.4 % Local people are also agree that indoor ground facilities are available in RGGKP.

References

1. Bentoa G, Dias G. The importance of outdoor play for young children's healthy development. Porto Biomedical Journal. 2017; 2(5):157-160.

2. Retriwedfrom<https://www.hindustantimes.com/sports/raji-v-gandhi-khel-abhiyan-another-scheme-set-to-bite-the-dust/story-yO88YdSEA7XgzyOafxmFgI.html>.
 3. Sangwan Sandeep. A comparative study of attitude towards physical activity and physical fitness among school students. vyayam-vidnyan, 2018, 49.
 4. Retriwedfromhttp://www.funkymonkeys.in/blog_details.php?blog_title=The+Importance+of+Indoor+Play+Areas.