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Abstract
The purpose of the present study was to compare the psychological characteristics of intercollegiate and intervarsity female basketball players. Total forty subjects were randomly selected (20 from intercollegiate and 20 from intervarsity) from Jammu University; Jammu and Kashmir was taken as a sample. The age of participants ranged between 18-25 years. Specific Sports Personality Test devised by Cheema and Singh (2005) [19] was administered to all the subjects of the study. All the subjects were informed about aim and methodology of the study and they volunteered to participate in this study. ‘T’ test independent was used to analyze the data, level of confidence was set at 0.05 level. The results show that personality traits of sportspersons showed that in the parameters such as Sociability, Dominance, Extraversion, Conventionality, Self-Concept and Mental Toughness, there were significant difference between intercollegiate and intervarsity female basketball players of Jammu University and there were no significant difference in the component of Emotional Stability between intercollegiate and intervarsity female basketball players.
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Introduction
In the field of sports psychology, the personality research was characterized by a tradition between group comparisons, e.g. athletes were compared with non-athletes, successful athletes with less successful ones, and men athletes with women athletes. Comparisons were also made among athletes representing different sports disciplines. These studies generally served performance prediction and selection goals, whether these attempts were very successful or not is difficult to say. But some studies have attempted to demonstrate an athletic personality. Heusner (1952) [1] found some persisting personality traits in American and British Olympic champions. He found that champions were emotionally stable, dominant, venturesome, bold, uninhibited, placid, self-confident and self-assured. Weber (1953) [2] conducted a study on 246 freshmen who were expected to take physical education at the State University of Iowa. The findings of the research declared no significant relation between total MMPI scores and fitness score. Biddulph (1954) [3] found greater intensity of social and personal adjustment among students with higher in athletes achievement then the students ranked lower in athletic achievement. Persone (1964) [4] revealed that difference was found among champion swimmers and the average population in 15 out of 16 factors of Cattell’s 16 PF and the champion swimmers apparently possessed extreme scores on personality factors. Schendel (1965) [5] found that the 9th grade athletes differed from the non-athletes on eight of the CPI scales, differences existed on 4 scales for the 12th grade sample and nine differences were observed for the college subjects. Hence, he supported the view that athletes and non-athletes differ in personality structure. Kroll and Carlson (1967) [6] contend that there is definite personalities factors existed which motivate people to select a sport and participate in it. Such factors might be different form general psychological factors relating to ordinary activity of body. Cooper (1969) [12] lists athlete’s personality structure as below: Ogilvie (1968) [10] also found that traits like tough-mindedness, emotional stability, consciousness, self-assuredness and outgoings consistently, self-control; low ergic tension level, were associated with athletic achievement. Malumphy (1968) [7] used the Cattell’s 16 PF to compare the personality traits of 120 women, 77 athletes and 43 non-athletes. He found athletes playing individual sport being more burnout as compared to those participating in team sports.
Although the high degree of conscientiousness and tough-mindedness is found among sport participant but they were less venture some and imaginative than their counterparts i.e. non-sport participant. Hunt (1969) [8] reported that Negro and white varsity athletes had similar personality profiles as did the Negro and white non-athletes. Hence, athletes, regardless of ethnic background tended to differ from the non-athletes. Studies conducted by Kane (1965) [9], Berhram and Kroll (1967) [10] and Dardin (1972) [11] have indicated that determination, drive and killer instinct are the qualities that are expected to be found extraordinarily in champion athletes. They have also shown that athletes of one sport differ from those of other sport and non-athletes in their personality characteristics. Little (1969) [12] found that the athletic group was highly extrovert and sociable while the non-athletic group was characterized by introversion and lack of sociability. His findings were essential in agreement with those of Carmen, Zerman and Blaine (1968) [13] and Pierce (1969) [14] i.e. athletes display fewer neurotic symptoms than non-athletes.

Studies conducted by Kane (1965) [9], Berhram and Kroll (1967) [10] and Dardin (1972) [11] have indicated that determination, drive and killer instinct are the qualities that are expected to be found extraordinarily in champion athletes. They have also shown that athletes of one sport differ from those of other sport and non-athletes in their personality characteristics. Little (1969) [12] found that the athletic group was highly extrovert and sociable while the non-athletic group was characterized by introversion and lack of sociability. His findings were essential in agreement with those of Carmen, Zerman and Blaine (1968) [13] and Pierce (1969) [14] i.e. athletes display fewer neurotic symptoms than non-athletes.

Results

Table 1: Mean, SD and t-ratios of personality characteristics of female Basketball players of inter college and inter university level competition

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variables</th>
<th>Level</th>
<th>N</th>
<th>Mean</th>
<th>SD</th>
<th>t-value</th>
<th>P. value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Sociability</td>
<td>College</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>40.22</td>
<td>4.23</td>
<td>4.32*</td>
<td>0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>University</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>44.62</td>
<td>4.12</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dominance</td>
<td>College</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>39.42</td>
<td>5.02</td>
<td>2.39*</td>
<td>0.018</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>University</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>41.50</td>
<td>4.88</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Extraversion</td>
<td>College</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>33.98</td>
<td>3.88</td>
<td>3.12*</td>
<td>0.002</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>University</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>37.42</td>
<td>3.72</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Conventionality</td>
<td>College</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>33.52</td>
<td>4.23</td>
<td>3.98*</td>
<td>0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>University</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>36.72</td>
<td>4.12</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Self-Concept</td>
<td>College</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>35.98</td>
<td>5.02</td>
<td>3.42*</td>
<td>0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>University</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>41.02</td>
<td>4.88</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mental Toughness</td>
<td>College</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>39.52</td>
<td>3.88</td>
<td>2.92*</td>
<td>0.019</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>University</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>42.10</td>
<td>3.72</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Emotional Stability</td>
<td>College</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>38.94</td>
<td>4.23</td>
<td>1.6</td>
<td>0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>University</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>40.12</td>
<td>4.12</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

As per the above table, differences on many personality characteristics were found to be significant between university level and college level female sports-persons of basketball game, e.g. Sociability (t=4.32, p<0.001), Dominance (t=2.39, p=0.018), Conventionality (t=3.98, p<0.001), Self-Concept (t=3.42, p<0.001) and Mental Toughness (t=2.92, p<0.019) but not in the case of Emotional Stability, where t-value of 1.609 was not statistically significant. The mean scores indicate that university level female players of basketball game were having high mean scores on Sociability (M=44.62), Dominance (M=41.50), Extraversion (M=37.42), Conventionality (M= 36.72), Self-Concept (M=41.02), Mental Toughness (M=42.10) than the college level players whose mean scores can be compared as 40.22, 39.42, 33.98, 33.52, 35.98, & 38.94 respectively.

Discussion and Conclusion

The researcher analyzed the collected data as per the aim of

Methodology

The data were collected from Jammu University during intercollegiate and intervarsity training camp. The age of participants ranged between 18-25 years. Specific Sports Personality Test devised by Cheema and Singh (2005) [19] was administered to all the subjects of the study. All the subjects were informed about aim and methodology of the study and they volunteered to participate in this study. ‘T’ test independent was used to analysis the data, level of confidence was set at 0.05 level.

Sports Specific Personality Test: - For the measurement of personality traits of sportspersons; Specific Sports Personality Test devised by Cheema and Singh (2005) [19] was administered in English version to all the subjects of the study; i.e. females. This test has 100 items which measure seven personality traits. It is a reliable and valid test.

Description of the tests

Sports-specific personality test: This questionnaire has 100 items and measures seven of personality traits for dominance, sociability, conventionality, extraversion, self-concept, mental toughness and emotional stability.
The statistical analysis of personality traits of sportspersons showed that in the parameters such as Sociability, Dominance, Extraversion, Conventionality, Self-Concept and Mental Toughness there were significant difference between intercollegiate and intervarsity female players of Jammu University and there were no significant difference in the component of Emotional Stability between intercollegiate and intervarsity female basketball players. The study also revealed that the intervarsity female basketball players are better in Sociability, Dominance, Extraversion, Conventionality, Self-Concept and Mental Toughness as compare to intercollegiate female basketball players. The differences in personality traits parameters between intercollegiate and intervarsity basketball players may be due to difference in skills, experience, trainability and movement’s pattern etc.
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