



ISSN: 2456-0057
IJPNPE 2019; SP2: 41-44
© 2019 IJPNPE
www.journalofsports.com

Ajith Mohan Kuttapa Nair Radhamani
Department of Physical Education, Government Engineering College Barton Hill, Thiruvananthapuram, Kerala, India

Anil Kumar Narayanan
Deputy Director, Department of Physical Education, Cochin University for Science and Technology, Kalamassery, Kerala, India

Margrette Leena Valsala
Department of Physical Education, All Saints' College Chackai, Thiruvananthapuram Kerala, India

Corresponding Author:
Ajith Mohan Kuttapa Nair Radhamani
Department of Physical Education, Government Engineering College Barton Hill, Thiruvananthapuram, Kerala, India

(Special Issue- 2)

“International Seminar on Application of Engineering in Sports”

(October 11th-12th, 2019)

Has the false start detecting machine affected the reaction time and performance of sprinters

Ajith Mohan Kuttapa Nair Radhamani, Anil Kumar Narayanan and Margrette Leena Valsala

Abstract

Reaction time is considered an important determinant of success in sprint events. Indeed, a good start can make the difference between winning a gold medal and losing one in the attempt to get out of the blocks as fast as possible; athletes try to react quickly to the start signal. However, during competitive races, anticipation of the starter's gun may result in a false start. The aim of this study was to determine how far the false dictating machine has influenced the reaction time of school male and female athletes in sprint event, more specifically, are athletes more cautious because there is a greater risk of disqualification. Essentially, the study would be supported if consistent differences were apparent in the reaction time of high level athletes when competing under the sensor technology. The performance times and reaction time of sprinters' competing in school championships in athletics were analysed. Overall, the reaction time was significantly different in athletes competing in the competition had, on average, slower in the performance.

Keywords: Reaction time, false start, performance times, rule

1. Introduction

In sprint events, where hundredths of a second can make the difference between a gold medal and silver, minimizing reaction time can be the key to an athlete's success. [1] Reaction time (RT) in sprint events, the duration of the period between firing the starting pistol and the first observable movement of the athlete, has been identified as one determinant of success in sprinting. However, the acceleration distance, the maximum speed attained and the speed endurance of the athlete are the most important factors. The relationship between RT and performance time (PT) is controversial but the shorter the race, the more important the RT is for the final PT. Usually, sprinters dedicate some of their training time to practice aimed at improving their reaction to a starting signal. Indeed, studies have been conducted to investigate if it is possible to improve RT, either by training the attention to the starting signal or to the motor response. Paying attention to the motor response was found to be most beneficial for improving RT. All sprinters, when they are in the “set” position, aim to push-off, away from the starting blocks, as fast as possible immediately after hearing the starting gun. In an attempt to reduce the latency period, there is a tendency for athletes to push-off at the same time as they hear the signal. As a result, athletes sometimes anticipate the starter's signal and commit a false start. If the RT is under 100 milliseconds, the athlete is deemed to have “jumped the gun”. Therefore, the main task of the judge, aided by technical apparatus, is to identify if any athlete(s) has indeed moved before the gun. Unfortunately, on some occasions, decisions have caused disagreement between athletes and officials. The old rule on false starts allowed every athlete competing in a race to commit one false start before disqualification. In an attempt to “improve the image of the sport on television and to make sure schedules run to time”, a new

rule was agreed at the Congress of the International Association of Athletics Federations (IAAF) during the 2001 IAAF World Championships in Athletics held in Edmonton. This rule states that "only one false start per race shall be allowed" (excluding combined events). "Any athlete(s) making further false starts in the race shall be disqualified from the race". However on 12th August 2009 the 47th IAAF Congress, Berlin, Germany IAAF Member Federations approved that except in Combined Events, any athlete responsible for a False Start shall be disqualified and in Combined Events only one false start per race shall be allowed without disqualification of the athlete(s) responsible for the false start. Any athlete(s) making further false starts in the race shall be disqualified from the race. The new rule has increased the probability of disqualification but has the new rule influenced athletes' RT at the start. More specifically, are athletes more cautious given the potentially greater risk of disqualification had lead to the introduction of FSDM in competitions under Rule 12.1(a), (b) and (c) which direct the starting blocks must be linked to an IAAF approved false start apparatus. Based on this the study aim's to investigate whether the influence of False Start Detection System (FSDS) had affected the reaction time and performance of schools' men and women 100mtrs sprinters from the qualifying rounds. The Reaction Time (RT) False Start Detection System captures reaction times and start data by using internationally patented technology in sensor units attached to athlete starting blocks. The system which is comprised of block sensors on each starting block, a command center and has an integrated Public Address system to facilitate clear transmission of the starter's commands to the athletes by which during a start, the reaction time technology relays athlete reaction data to the command center instantly analyzes starts in accordance with IAAF rules every 1/1000th of a second.

2. Materials and Methods

2.1 False start detection system (Lynx System Version 2.0)

Reaction Time Championship System generally contains 8 Block Sensor components and one set of Command Centre components. Reaction Time interfaces with FinishLynx results for seamless integration of athlete data. The individual Block Sensors can also be used as a reaction training system. Unlike some simpler systems, RT has no contact pads and the system cannot be tricked or overloaded. The system is rugged and easy-to-use. The battery or AC powered blocks are weather-resistant and designed to withstand the tough demands of a year-round athletics environment. When used as a stand-alone personal training system to help sprinters improve their starting technique, an individual Reaction Time module can be used to record and display an athlete's gun-to-motion times to an accuracy of 1/1000th of a second. The Competition Reaction Time system detects false starts (as defined by IAAF rule 162.10) also to an accuracy of 1/1000th of a second-and instantly signals this information to the starter. Measures reaction times to 1/1000th of a second detection mechanism clips to virtually every manufacturer's starting blocks. Control centre for the starter is lightweight and portable and Battery operated and rechargeable or AC powered. The system has the helps athletes to hear the starter's commands or the sound of the gun simultaneously.

The machine contains all required cables as well as headsets for the starter and recall starter including the ability to store the reaction time of the athletes with printing system. The system also have wireless adapters eliminate to lay out cables to each of the starting blocks reaction time software for windows allows the power waveforms for all the athletes in a race to be graphed, compared, saved, and automatically prints reaction times of the athlete's trackside after each race.

3. Results and Discussion

The results of the school championships in athletics held in Thiruvananthapuram, 2018 were collected and analysed specifically, the RTs and PTs of 100 metres men and women. The mean (\pm SD) RT and PT performances from the championships were compared anomalously slow performances were ignored such that for the mens' 100m, PTs under 12.0sec in the heats and under 11.0sec in the other rounds were considered. For the women's' 100m, PTs under 14.0sec in the heats and under 13.0sec in the other rounds were considered. The average PT and RT gained in the heats, quarter-finals and semi-finals for 100m men were compared for each athlete. For 100m women, the average PTs and RTs from the heats, quarter-final, semi-final and finals were considered. All statistical analyses were conducted using SPSS (release 2.0, SPSS, Chicago, IL) The result showed that PF and RT of men and women sprinter's found to be decreasing and slower from heats to quarter and from semi-finals to the finals after the introduction of the FSDM. (See table.1 and 2) The result also shows that there was a tendency for RT to be slower in the performance (PF). (See table 3 and 4) From the above result it is evident that reaction times play a crucial role in the success of sprinters. The present result goes hand in hand with the study conducted by Massimiliano Ditroilo and Andrew Kilding ^[2] shows that reaction time where slow by the introduction of the FSDM in 100mtrs sprint and hurdles event. Similar findings by Piliandis, T. *et al.* ^[3] shows that the start reaction time and the sprinting performance in 100-m sprint race finalists, on top-level sprinters found that the start reaction time is strongly correlated with the sprinting performance. Further the result also shows that start reaction time seems to improve equally with the superior performance of the modern sprinters. The graphical representation of the mean score of performance and reaction time of men and women sprinter presented below in Fig 1, 2, 3 and 4

Table 1: Performance Time Mens' 100 metres

Round	N	Mean	Std. Deviation
Heat	74	11.01	0.14
Quarters	38	11.22	0.17
Semi-Finals	15	10.81	0.15
Finals	8	10.61	0.38

Table 2: Performance Time Womens' 100 metres

Round	N	Mean	Std. Deviation
Heat	54	13.06	0.27
Quarters	31	13.52	0.20
Semi-Finals	16	12.81	0.16
Finals	8	12.67	0.19




Fig 1: Performance Time Mens' 100 metres




Fig 4: Reaction Time Womens' 100 metres




Fig 2: Performance Time Womens' 100 metres

Table 3: Reaction Time Mens' 100 metres

Round	N	Mean	Std. Deviation
Heat	74	0.149	0.0019
Quarters	38	0.46	0.017
Semi-Finals	15	0.160	0.012
Finals	8	0.67	0.0021

Table 4: Reaction Time Womens' 100 metres

Round	N	Mean	Std. Deviation
Heat	54	0.151	0.020
Quarters	31	0.146	0.014
Semi-Finals	16	0.140	0.016
Finals	8	0.145	0.122




Fig 3: Reaction Time Mens' 100 metres

4. Conclusions

The result showed that PF and RT of men and women sprinter's found to be decreasing and slower from heats to quarter and from semi-finals to the finals after the introduction of the FSDM. The result also shows that there was a tendency for RT to be slower in the PF. From the above result it is evident that reaction times play a important role in the success of sprinters. The shorter the race, the more important an athlete's reaction time becomes, because it "accounts for a greater proportion of the total time of the run which gives coaches and athletes access to live reaction and to development the muscular-skeletal system by applying complex sequential skills and on the improvement of the sprinter's concentration in order to achieve faster starts reaction times which can lead to an outstanding sprinting performance. The use of FSDM play an important role in bringing the performance of a sprinter's participating in major competitions, also the training system can also be used by athletes as a powerful tool during personal and off-season training routines without the need for a coach to simulate the start and help sprinters improve their starting technique and individual RT module also can be used to record and display an athlete's gun-to-motion times to an accuracy of 1/1000th of a second. Since do to the constrain of financial support to procure this sophisticate equipments at a large extent for the training and coaching center that directly affect the performance component of the sprinter. However, if the machine's technology developed as indigenious that will helps to create better result for sprinters in competitions to achieve from silver to gold medal.

5. Acknowledgments

Authors thanks to express my heartfelt gratitude to esteemed respect to Prof, (Dr). T.I Manoj, Deputy Director of student Welfare (Sports & Games), Kerala Agricultural University, Vellanikkara, Thirssure, Kerala, India and Prof (Dr). Suresh kutty), Department of Physical Education, School of Physical Education and Sports Sciences, Kannur University, Kerala, India for their generous advice, valuable suggestions and inspiring guidance at every stage of this research work. The authors appreciate the assistance rendered by Mr. Shibu Balakrishnan. Physical Education teacher GV Raja Sports School Mailamm, Thirunathapuram, Kerala, India and Mr Suraj Bhuvanedaran, Senior Physical Education, Our Own, Indian school, Al quoz, Dubai, UAE for their encouragement and co-operation for the completion of the study. Authors thanks to athletes' participated in the competitions without whose wholehearted co-operation and eagerness this study could not have been completed successfully.

6. References

1. Dr. Alex Brown, Sprinters Closest To Starter Pistol Have Advantage Over Those Farther Away, University of Alberta, Science Daily, 2008.
2. Massimiliano Ditroilo, Andrew Kilding Has the new false start rule affected the reaction time of elite sprinters? *New Studies in Athletics IAAF*. 2004; 19(1):13-19.
3. Paradisis G, Zacharogiannis E, Smirniotou A, Tziortzis, S, Kritharakis A. Correlation of reaction time and performance in 100m sprint running. *Medicine and Science in Sports and Exercise*. 2006; 38(5):518.
4. Martin DE, Buoncristiani JF. Influence of reaction time on athletic performance. *New Studies in Athletics*. 1995; 10(1):67-79
5. Matthew TG. Pain and Angela Hibbs, Sprint starts and the minimum auditory reaction time. *Journal of Sports Sciences*; 2007; 25:79-86
6. Neha Chavan, Dr. Deepak Shendkar. A study of variations in an athlete's reaction time performance based on the types of stimulus. *International Journal of Physical Education, Sports and Health*. 2016; 3(5):79-83
7. Pilianidis T *et al.*, start reaction time and performance at the sprint events in the Olympic Games. *Journal of Kinesiology*. 2012; 44:1:67-72
8. [http:// www.finishlynx.com](http://www.finishlynx.com). 27 May, 2004.
9. [http:// www.sciencedaily.com](http://www.sciencedaily.com) 22 June, 2008.