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# Effect of sand running and weight training on selected physiological variables of college men 

Yuvaraja KB, Dr. SK Manjunatha and T Viswanatha


#### Abstract

Study was to determine the effect of Sand Running and Weight Training on selected physiological variables of college men. Sixty subjects (male) Alapuzha District, Kerala in the age group of 18 to 25 yrs were selected randomly as subjects for the study. The subjects were randomly assigned to Sand running group, weight training and Control groups each group consisted of 20 subjects. Aerobic Capacity and Resting Pulse Rate, Aerobic Capacity (coopers 12 minutes run/walk) and Resting Pulse Rate (pulse counted for one minutes) Participation in Eight weeks of sand Training and resistance training program resulted in improvement on aerobic capacity. Participation in Eight weeks of sand Training and resistance training program resulted in decrease of pulse rate.
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## Introduction

Running on the sand allows for a softer landing than running on pavement. As such, you'll put less stress on your ankles, knees, and hips. Lowering the impact on these weight-bearing joints may reduce your chance of impact-associated musculoskeletal injuries. If you haven't guessed by now, the extra effort and muscle engagement required to run on the beach means that it burns more calories compared to running on pavement. In fact, studies have shown that running on sand requires about one-and-a-half times more energy compared to running on a hard surface. Resistance training also called as strength training is used of resistance to muscular contraction to build strength, anaerobic endurance and size of skeletal muscle.

## Statement of the problem

The purpose of the study was to determine the effect of Sand Running and Weight Training on selected physiological variables of college men.

## Delimitation

1. The study was delimited to Sixty College students of Alapuzha District.
2. The study was delimited to the students between the age group of 18 to 25 . The Training period was limited to Eight Weeks.
3. The study was further delimited to selected physiological Variables like Aerobic Capacity, Resting Pulse rate and Blood Pressure.

## Limitation

1. All subjects were volunteers. Dealing with volunteers often makes it hard to choose a representative sample of the entire society of interest.
2. Lack of control over the life style, habits, diet and hereditary differences of the subjects will be considered as a limitation to the study.
3. Socio-economic and religious factors, which cannot be controlled by the scholar, might affect the responses of the students.

## Hypothesis

It was hypothesized that there would be a significant effect of sand running and weight training on the selected Physiological variables of college men.

## Selection of subjects

Sixty subjects (male) Alapuzha District, Kerala in the age group of 18 to25yrs were selected randomly as subjects for the study. The subjects were randomly assigned to Sand running group, weight training and Control groups each group consisted of 20 subjects.

## Selection of variables

1. Aerobic Capacity
2. Resting Pulse Rate

## Experimental design

1. Aerobic Capacity
2. Resting Pulse Rate

## Test administration

1. Aerobic Capacity (coopers 12 minutes run/walk)
2. Resting Pulse Rate (pulse counted for one minutes)

Analysis of data and the result of the study

1. ANCOVA,
2. Scheffe's post hoc test to determine mean difference among the group.
3. The level of significance obtained by the analysis of variance was fixed at 0.05 level of confidence

## Results and Discussion

Table 1: Descriptive statistics of aerobic capacity of the three groups

| Groups |  | Mean | S.D | $\mathbf{N}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Sand Training | Pre test | 45.94 | 4,59 | 20 |
|  | Post test | 51.19 | 4.64 |  |
| Weight Training | Pre test | 46.25 | 5.80 | 20 |
|  | Post test | 48.21 | 5.98 |  |
| Control | Pre test | 48.66 | 6.40 | 20 |
|  | Post test | 48.52 | 6.34 |  |

Table 2: Analysis of covariance on Aerobic capacity of sand Training, Weight training and control group

| Source | df | Sum of <br> squares <br> of X | Sum of <br> squares <br> of Y | Sum of <br> squares <br> of X.Y | Sum of <br> squares <br> of Y.X | MSS <br> Y.X | F <br> ratio |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Between <br> Means | 2 | 88.81 | 107.59 | 45562.3 | 278.985 | 139.49 | $61.03^{*}$ |
| Within <br> means | 57 | 1820 | 1854.47 | 1773.59 | 127.985 | 2.28 |  |
| Total | 59 | 1908.8 | 1962.07 | 47335.9 |  |  |  |

Table 3: Scheffes post hoc test for mean difference between groups on aerobic capacity

| Sand <br> training | Weight <br> training | Control | Mean <br> difference | Critical <br> Value |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 52.17 | 48.89 |  | 3.286 | 2.933 |
| 52.17 |  | 46.85 | 5.325 | 2.933 |
|  | 48.89 | 46.85 | 2.038 | 2.933 |

Table 4: Descriptive statistics of resting pulse of the three groups

| Groups |  | Mean | S.D | $\mathbf{N}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Sand Training | Pre test | 59.84 | 5.02 | 20 |
|  | Post test | 58.45 | 4.50 |  |
| Weight Training | Pre test | 61.60 | 4.61 | 20 |
|  | Post test | 61.10 | 4.62 |  |
| Control | Pre test | 63.05 | 4.90 | 20 |
|  | Post test | 62.95 | 4.93 |  |

Table 5: Analysis of covariance on Resting Pulse Rate of sand Training, Weight training and control group

| Source | df | Sum of <br> squares <br> of X | Sum of <br> squares <br> of Y | Sum of <br> squares <br> of X.Y | Sum of <br> squares <br> of Y.X | MSS <br> Y.X | F ratio |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Between <br> Means | 2 | 102.70 | 204.633 | 2432.56 | 20.07 | 10.003 | $24.176 *$ |
| Within <br> means | 57 | 1342.30 | 1253.70 | 3127.89 | 23.171 | .414 |  |
| Total | 59 | 1445.00 | 1458.33 | 32789.9 |  |  |  |

The calculated F value of 24.17 was grater than the table value of 3.159 at 0.05 level of confidence

Table 6: Scheffes post hoc test for mean difference between groups on resting pulse rate

| Sand <br> training | Weight <br> training | Control | Mean <br> difference | Critical <br> Value |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 62.95 | 61.1 |  | 0.462 | 0.26156 |
| 62.95 |  | 58.45 | 1.436 | 0.26156 |
|  | 61.1 | 58.45 | 0.974 | 0.26156 |

## Conclusion

Participation in Eight weeks of sand Training and resistance training program resulted in improvement on aerobic capacity. Participation in Eight weeks of sand Training and resistance training program resulted in decrease of pulse rate.
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